

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,494	10/509,494 09/29/2004		Kazutoshi Kato	Q83849	5352
23373	7590	10/18/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRU	,		COLLINS, DARRYL J		
SUITE 800	SILVAN	IIA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DO	20037	2873		

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		N
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/509,494	KATO, KAZUTOSHI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Darryl J. Collins	2873
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE : Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions of the second of the second of the second of the second of the maximum statutory period versions of the second of the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 2.3, 4/2 and 4/3 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4/1, 5 and6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in a nty documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09292004.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 5 and 6 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saux et al. Saux et al teaches a progressive power lens structured having a first refractive surface being the object side surface and a second refractive surface being an eyeball side surface (page 2, paragraph [0024]) and further having a far field, a near field and an intermediate field (page 1, paragraph [0002]) as claimed in independent claim 1. Saux et al further teaches the eyeball side refracting surface being located closest to an eyeball side (Figure 6) as claimed in independent claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3, 4/2 and 4/3 are allowed.

Claim 4/1 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent claims, in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. Although the prior art teaches progressive power lenses having two refractive surfaces and comprising a distance portion, a near portion and an n intermediate portion, the prior art fails to teach a progressive lens encompassing all of the claimed limitations including the structure as defined in independent claims 2 and 3.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Loosman et al, Yamaguchi, Menezes, Kitani, Kitani et al and Le Saux et al all teach progressive lenses.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darryl J. Collins whose telephone number is 571-272-2325. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 - 5:00 Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

djc

Scott J/Sugarman
Primary Examiner